

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,262	MCCRAY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Guzo	1636	

All Participants:
Status of Application: amended

 (1) David Guzo.

(3) _____.

 (2) Ann S. Viksnins.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2006
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment to delete a active hyperlink in the specification.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)